

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/629,249 07/29/2003 Mo6841D/LeA 33,751D Roland Andree 6706 34469 09/11/2006 **EXAMINER** BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP ROYDS, LESLIE A Patent Department PAPER NUMBER 100 BAYER ROAD ART UNIT PITTSBURGH, PA 15205-9741 1614

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.              | Applicant(s)  |  |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/629,249                   | ANDREE ET AL. |  |
|   |   | Examiner                     | Art Unit      |  |
|   |   | Leslie A. Royds              | 1614          |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                              |               |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                              |               |  |
| Status  |   |                              |               |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |                              |               |  |
| ·   | •   | —<br>is action is non-final. |               |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                              |               |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                              |               |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                              |               |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                              |               |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                              |               |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                              |               |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |                              |               |  |
| 7)  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                              |               |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 13-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                              |               |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                              |               |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                              |               |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |               |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                              |               |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                              |               |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                              |               |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                              |               |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>  |   |                              |               |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |                              |               |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |                              |               |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |                              |               |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                              |               |  |
|   |   |                              |               |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                              |               |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   |                              |               |  |
| 3) Infor  | C)     Newton of Life and Defend Application (DTO 450)  |                              |               |  |
|   |   |                              |               |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/629,249

Art Unit: 1614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claims 13-15 are presented for examination.

## Requirement for Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of compounds of Formula (IX) as recited in present claims 13-15.

The species are independent or distinct because:

The species of compounds recited in the present claims are structurally and/or chemically distinct from any one other compound encompassed by the present claims such that a comprehensive search of the patent and non-patent literature for any one such compound would not necessarily result in a comprehensive search of any one or more of the other compounds recited in the claims. Notwithstanding that Applicant may have established an underlying common function to this broad genus of compounds, namely, that they are capable of acting a herbicides, it remains that the art does not necessarily recognize such a shared function as being common to each of the variety of distinct compounds encompassed by the claims. Furthermore, the disparate nature and variability encompassed by the broad genus of compounds of Formula (IX) precludes a quality examination on the merits not only because a burdensome search would be required for the entire scope of the claim(s), but also because consideration of the findings of such a search for compliance with the statutes and requirements set forth under 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112 would be unduly onerous. In addition, the discovery of any one of the presently claimed compounds for use as a herbicide would not necessarily anticipate or reasonably suggest or render obvious the use of any one or more of the other compounds claimed for the same objective.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a <u>single</u> compound from Formula (IX) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 13-15 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species of compound that is elected consonant with this requirement, identification of each of the substituents (i.e., R4, R5, etc.) and a <u>structural depiction of the elected compound</u>. Applicant is required to provide a listing of all claims readable thereon the elected species of compound, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. Please reference MPEP §809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie A. Royds whose telephone number is (571)-272-6096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00 AM-5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571)-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,249

Art Unit: 1614

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1614

August 25, 2006

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5